SCOTTISH BORDERS COUNCIL

PLANNING AND BUILDING STANDARDS COMMITTEE

5 SEPTEMBER 2022

APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

ITEM: REFERENCE NUMBER: 22/00518/FUL

OFFICER: Scott Shearer

WARD: Galashiels And District

PROPOSAL: Demolition of the existing school and swimming pool,

erection of a community campus, external sports provision, including covered tennis facility, service access, car

parking and landscaping

SITE: Land North And East Of And Incorporating Galashiels

Academy And Swimming Pool

Galashiels

APPLICANT: Scottish Borders Council

AGENT: JM Architects

PLANNING PROCESSING AGREEMENT:

A Planning Processing Agreement is in place for the determination of the application at the 5th September 2022 Planning and Building Standards Committee.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The application site extends across the existing Galashiels Academy campus, Scott Park to the east, the existing swimming pool site to the north and a strip of woodland on Gala Hill to the south. Woodland encloses the site to the north, west and south. Fingers of planting extend into the site and enclosing the Galashiels Academy Annex and Janitors House towards the south and elsewhere in Scott Park. The central and western part of the site is reasonably flat. The land slopes away to the north and east, and rises up towards Gala Hill along its south eastern boundary.

The site is well connected with various points of access from surrounding roads and paths to the north and east, including from Scott Crescent, Livingstone Place and Elm Row.

Scott Park is allocated as Key Greenspace ref; GSGALA010 in the Local Development Plan 2016 (LDP). Its gatepiers, gate lodge and boundary walls and gates are listed Category C. The wooded Gala Policies that enclose the site to the north and west are also considered Key Greenspace, ref; GSGALA009. The policies contain a network of paths connecting the town to the hills to the west. The Tweed, Ettrick and Yarrow Confluences Special Landscape Area (SLA) extends along the southern boundary of the site.

Residential properties are located on neighbouring streets surrounding the site. Waverley Residential Home is located next to the Old Parish and St Pauls Church on the south eastern boundary of Scott Park. Oakwood Park residential accommodation is located to the north east. St Margaret's Primary School and Focus Centre are located to the north. The remains of Gala House are located to the west.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The application is submitted in full for the erection of a community campus, external sports provision, including covered tennis facility, service access, car parking and landscaping. The development is shown in detail on the various submitted drawings on Public Access and described in the Design and Access Statement.

All existing buildings will be demolished on the current campus with the exception of the Janitors House. The existing swimming pool and tennis centre and 56 trees across the site will be removed. The submitted plan titled Existing Site Plan Showing Removals details all proposed removals.

The new community campus building is to be positioned on the flat area to the western part of Scott Park. The building is of a contemporary design with a combination of two storey elements set under an over-hanging canopy roof. Flat roofed 3 storey elements are positioned towards the back of the building (to east and west). The new swimming pool and gym forms part of the main campus building.

The external sports pitches which include a 2G synthetic hockey pitch, a 3G synthetic rugby and football pitch, a grass football pitch with 200m athletics oval, a 6-lane 100m sprint track with long jump pit and a 3-court covered tennis facility are located to the west of the building in place of the existing campus. The main carpark is located to the north in place of the existing swimming pool. Existing vehicular access from Elm Row is being retained. Within Scott Park new play facilities are to be added with the campus annex building making way for a new area of open parkland. Improved woodland area is to be added to south of existing site. The proposal also includes associated infrastructure in the form of lighting (for pitch and building), fencing, bin stores, outdoor storage and a substation.

The application is classed as a 'Major' development under the Hierarchy of Developments (Scotland) Regulations 2009. The Council, as applicants, publicised and held online and in-person public events prior to the application being submitted, as well as consultation with all Galashiels and District Community Councils. The outcome of the public consultation exercise has been reported in a Pre-Application Consultation Report submitted with the application.

In addition to the submitted plans and drawings, there are also statements and reports in support of the application, as follows:

- Planning Statement
- Pre-Application Consultation Report
- Transport Assessment
- Flood Risk Assessment
- Geotechnical Design & Environmental Report
- EIA Screening Opinion Response
- Ecological Appraisal
- Noise Impact Assessment
- Lighting Impact Assessment
- Air Quality Impact Assessment
- Archaeological Assessment
- Construction and Traffic Management Plan
- Tree Survey and Arboricultural Constraints Report

PLANNING HISTORY

The Design and Access Statement details the historic context of the site. The main building of the Galashiels Academy was developed in the 1960s. The current Janitor's House and store is a former stables which served New Gala House. In 2017 planning consent was granted for a small extension to the school.

REPRESENTATION SUMMARY

Representations of objection and support have been received. These comments are available in full on *Public Access*. A summary of the comments are noted below.

Objection

Twenty one separate letters of objection have been received, including objection from Friends of Scott Park group.

Scott Park

- Park gifted to Galashiels as a pleasure park in 1939. Development of school conflicts with spirit of gift in title.
- Potential loss of Common Good land.
- Loss of Key Greenspace allocation in LDP (ref; GSGALA010) in direct conflict with Policy EP11.
- Limited other open space available in town. Scott Park remains most natural and welcoming public park in Galashiels, free from allotments, gardens or sports facilities
- The park has been neglected by SBC and not enhanced as per The Green Spaces Supplementary Guidance and Strategy and Facilities and Pitches Strategy 2011.
- Fail to meet park design standards of PAN65
- Re-provided green space is poorly connected, sloping, unsafe, wooded and at risk of flooding. These are not useable areas.
- No new facilities are being provided in the park, instead existing facilities are being relocated.
- Proposals fail to improve the park.
- Use of the park lost during construction period.

Other Objections

- SBC is making this application to itself. The application should be notified to Scottish Ministers, (Notification Direction, 2009, Schedule, Para 1)
- Online PAC process was not accessible to all
- Failure to fully consider other viable sites
- Contrary to local plan
- Poor design
- Poor landscape design
- Noise disruption
- Layout of proposal and lack of perimeter fence allows for antisocial and criminal behaviour
- Flood risk
- Detriment to residential amenity
- Litter

- Detrimental to environment
- Inadequate boundary/fencing
- Inadequate screening
- Road safety
- Traffic congestion
- Ecological impacts

Support

2 comments of support are provided. These view the chosen site to be central, accessible and a site where there is already a school. Existing use of Scott Park is low, development will not impede existing use which is primarily by dog walkers. Sufficient green spaces within local area which can compensate for loss of part of the park. Green space loss is not outweighed by positive educational and sporting benefits provided.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES:

Scottish Borders Council Local Development Plan 2016

PMD1 – Sustainability

PMD2 - Quality Standards

PMD5 – Infill Development

EP1 – International Nature Conservation Sites and Protected Species

EP2 – National Nature Conservation Sites and Protected Species

EP3 - Local Biodiversity

EP5 – Special Landscape Areas

EP7 – Listed Buildings

EP8 - Archaeology

EP11 – Protection of Greenspace

EP12 – Green Networks

EP13 - Trees, Woodlands and Hedgerows

EP15 – Development Affecting the Water Environment

EP16 – Air Quality

HD3 - Protection of Residential Amenity

IS1 – Public Infrastructure and Local Service Provision

IS4 - Transport Development and Infrastructure

IS5 - Protection of Access Routes

IS6 - Road Adoption Standards

IS7 - Parking Provision and Standards

IS8 - Flooding

IS9 - Waste Water Treatment Standards and Sustainable Urban Drainage

OTHER PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS:

SESplan Strategic Development Plan 2013 Scottish Planning Policy 2014 National Planning Framework 3 2014 Draft National Planning Framework 4 2022

Historic Environment Policy for Scotland 2019

Planning Advice Notes:

PAN 61 Planning and Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems 2001

PAN 65 Planning and Open Space 2008 PAN 75 Planning for Transport 2005

SBC Supplementary Planning Guidance;
Biodiversity 2005
Guidance on Householder Development 2006
Trees and Development 2008
Landscape and Development 2008
Green Space 2009
Placemaking and Design 2010
Local Landscape Designations 2012
Waste Management 2015
Local Biodiversity Action Plan 2018
Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems 2020

Other

Survey of designated landscapes – Annex 3 – Peter McGowan Associates, "Borders Designed Landscape Survey: Schedule of Identified Sites"

CONSULTATION RESPONSES:

Scottish Borders Council Consultees

Archaeology Officer: No objection. Satisfied with the desk based archaeological assessment which has been carried out. Sites of regional interest were identified across the development area with the proposal found to pose direct impacts on three sites (the curling pond and clubhouse, the rig and furrow and the earthwork). Lack of previous development in the area raising potential for other features. Further archaeological investigations required. An acceptable Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for the initial examination of sites and watching brief has been provided. Implementation of its methodology and reporting are still required.

Ecology Officer: No objection. Surveys for bats, red squirrels and birds are required and pending findings mitigation including protection plans for each species and bat boxes. No site clearance works should be carried out during bird breeding seasons. Note orchard trees are proposed to be planted too close together and further details on tree species are required. Encourage reducing light spill to minimise ecological impact.

Environmental Health: No objection. Consider the proposal could impact the amenity of an existing dwelling adjacent to the site. The affected building is tied to the site and conditions are recommended covering the use of outdoor sports facilities and associated floodlights.

Flood Risk and Coastal Management: No objection. South west/west section of the site which includes proposed grass football pitch and 3G pitch is within 1 in 200 year flood plain but this is recognised by SEPA to be a "water compatible use". No part of the school is at risk of flooding. Recommend that;

- 3G pitch is raised 300mm to reduce flooding potential and damage
- No building/synthetic pitch is located over any culverted Moss Burn watercourse
- The existing manhole of the culverted Moss Burn to be retained/suitably moved
- Access maintained to the Moss Burn trash screen to the West of the site and any Moss Burn culvert alterations are agreed with SBC Flood Team

 Drainage is designed to ensure no flooding at a 1 in 200 year plus 30% climate change flood event and attenuation of surface water flows into the Bakehouse Burn

Heritage and Design: No objection. Through Preapp greater relief has been provided to the building, particularly the long east and west elevations. Principle of design is supported subject to securing high quality materials and detailing. Question suitability of design form and timber and brick material palate of ancillary structures. Minimisation of boundary treatments helps integrate proposal into its surroundings. The entrances to the park including the listed gates and gate lodge should be improved as part of the proposals to ensure an appropriate sense of arrival. Any signage should be sensitively designed.

Landscape Architect: No objection. The development maintains access to the adjacent countryside although its location reduces size of Scott Park and its value as amenity space within a relatively high density part of Galashiels. But wider historic use of site as a place for learning and benefits for whole Galashiels population are acknowledged. Proposals do make efforts to retain as much of landscape character of area as possible. Concerns are raised about the loss of 3 Cat A trees to accommodate the 3G pitch and associated infrastructure. Final details of tree removals and tree protection (as per BS5837:2021) should are require to be agreed. Positioning of vehicle access to west side alongside external social space is unfortunate and may potentially require greater physical separation than otherwise necessary if it was pedestrian. Detailed planning plans will be required which be linked to campus building and Scott Park.

Neighbourhood Services: No objection.

Roads Planning Service: No objection. Development is unlikely to cause significant increase in traffic movements. Should school reach its capacity there will be an increase in traffic flow but this will not pose any unacceptable impacts to the surrounding road network. A Traffic Management Plan can address construction/demolition traffic impacts. Details points are raised in response to the submitted Transport Assessment. The following points are required to be addressed;

- Details of pedestrian crossing point in vicinity of Scott Place/Livingstone place junction.
- Anticipated traffic volumes for construction and demolition phases including swept path analysis.
- EV charging point provision
- Cycle parking provision
- Engineering details of new/repaired footpaths and parking areas
- A School Travel Plan

Statutory Consultees

Community Council: No objection. Previously agreed location for development in Scott Park. Operational queries of management and operation of swimming pool, hydrotherapy pool, closure of Queens Centre Campus. Desire for larger sports hall and extra tennis courts. Doubts that insufficient number of parking spaces provided. Wish for fire evacuation plans and signage in place before school opens. Lighting needs to be minimises to reduce biodiversity impact on Policies. Entrance gates should be renovated.

NatureScot: No comment.

Scottish Water: No objection. Advise that their mains water and waste water infrastructure will serve the development and the applicant should complete formal development enquiry proposals.

SEPA: No objection. Satisfied that the school is located above the 1 in 1000year flood plain of the Bakehouse Burn which flows west to east across the northern extent of the site and there is safe pedestrian access and egress from the site to the east and south in a flood event. Note development will have negligible impact on air quality but advice that best practice measures should be used to further reduce emissions.

SportScotland: No objection. Despite providing fewer sports pitches the redevelopment provides a betterment to existing sports facilities through the inclusion of a 100m track, long jump as well as full size multi uses pitches and courts catering form rugby football, hockey and tennis. Would wish to see areas for throwing and high jump provided. Additional information confirming dimensions and retention and reusing of existing pitches has been welcomed. Recommend conditions covering floodlights, surfacing requirements suitable for rugby use and tennis court markings.

KEY PLANNING ISSUES:

- Whether the proposal represents a suitable form of infill development.
- Whether the new school is of appropriate siting and design in context.
- Whether the siting and design of the proposals respect the landscape, townscape, character and amenity of the surrounding area.
- Whether the development will result in the loss of Key Greenspace or protects and enhances the quality of existing Key Greenspace.
- Whether safe vehicular and pedestrian access can be achieved.
- Whether ecological impacts can be adequately mitigated.
- Whether the development would adversely affect the amenity of neighbouring Properties.
- Whether the development poses flood risk.

ASSESSMENT OF APPLICATION:

Planning Policy

The need for the development and the options considered leading up to this submission are all detailed in the supporting Design and Access Statement and submitted background paper on the Informal Community Consultation.

The Background Paper summarises the four sites which were assessed in 2018 to have potential to accommodate a new high school. At this initial assessment stage, Option C - Langlee Complex and D – Town Centre were ruled out on grounds of scale and a range of other constraints making these sites unviable. Following further studies Option B – Netherdale was deemed unsuitable due to high flood risk which would not be appropriate for this development type. Option A – Scott Park was chosen as the preferred option. Detailed studies where then undertaken to establish the most appropriate area to locate the new school and associated facilities. 'Area 3' (the application site) was determined to be the most viable in terms of functionality, community value, cost and minimise disruption to the existing Galashiels Academy.

The application site is located within the Galashiels Development Boundary designated within the Local Development Plan (LDP). Policy PMD5 of the LDP is generally supportive of infill development. The policy lists certain criteria that proposals

should satisfy to secure the appropriate development of non-allocated sites within settlements. Policy PMD2 also contains locational advice about compatibility with surrounding land use and character. The proposal places the community campus building into Scott Park which is allocated Key Greenspace under Policy EP11, the impact of the development on Key Greenspace is discussed within the relevant section of this report.

A major policy requirement for proposed infill developments is to avoid conflict with the established land use of the area. This is also a requirement of Policy PMD2. The positioning of the new school will result in the loss of part of Scott Park. The existing school already has a strong relationship to the park. The development is proposed within part of the town where the existing school campus, playing fields, tennis courts and swimming pool already exists, and, therefore its compatibility and relationship with surrounding uses is already well established.

The development of new educational facilities meets several key outcomes of the LDP 2016, in particular Key Outcome 6 which seeks to address strains faced by existing education facilities. While not yet a material consideration, cognisance should also be taken of the Proposed Local Development Plan which following examination will replace the current LDP. The Proposed LDP gives support to the development of a new school on the proposed site, recommending that;

"The Council has also agreed to replace the existing high school and plans for this are progressing for a new secondary campus which is expected to be located on the site currently occupied by Galashiels Academy."

It is, therefore considered that the proposed development aligns with the locational principles of Policy PMD5 of the LDP and overarching principles of the LDP. Detailed consideration of the impact on Key Greenspace is required but that aside, the proposal is not considered to conflict with existing land use or the character and amenity of the surrounding area. The phasing of the development, including removal of existing buildings will be an important point to manage, nevertheless this matter can be addressed by planning condition. The other criteria listed in the Policy are addressed later in this report, by reference to the more specific related Policies on, design, access, servicing and residential amenity.

Design and Layout

The design and layout of the development must comply principally with Local Development Plan Policies PMD2, PMD5, EP9, EP13, HD3 and IS4, together with the "Placemaking and Design" SPG. The development should comply with the terms of the Policies and criteria contained within them, aimed at ensuring compatibility and integration with the site surroundings, whilst representing high quality development with quality design, materials and acceptable impacts on residential amenity and services.

The layout of the proposal has been informed by an analysis of the physical site constraints, this is illustrated by the Existing Site Constraints graphic on page 41 of the Design and Access Statement. The constraints, include flood risk around the western and northern boundary of the site which would significantly restrict the development of a school building in these locations owing to the high vulnerability of the use. Steep slopes particularly to the north and south eastern edges of Scott Park, the woodland environment where trees within and surrounding the site are protected by Tree Preservation Orders and associated shading from these trees. There is also the challenging of continuing to provide a school with its required facilities while the new

campus is being developed. The siting of the proposed campus building takes advantage of a plateau immediately to the east of the existing Galashiels Academy, which responds to the identified physical site constraints. Moving the building to the east brings it closer to the town.

The existing Galashiels Academy building is very much of its 1960s era and now appears dated. The architectural context is varied in the surrounding townscape, where there is no one overriding form. The proposal is of a contemporary design. This approach compliments other exemplar new educational facilities which has have been developed within the Scottish Borders. The footprint of the campus building is large. Its increased footprint has to be balanced against the loss of the separate swimming pool and annex accommodation which is now to be accommodated as part of the 'one building' campus approach.

The proposed design has been developed through pre-application discussions. Its massing has been carefully considered to help minimise its scale with the three storey elements positioned toward rear areas so that the building does not appear too dominant on its main approaches. The over-sailing canopy cover to the east helps ground the structure. Where the building does extend up to three storeys, the use of light aluminium cladding can minimise its scale. A recess is provided on both east and west facing elevations, which does help to break up their expanse. In the case of the east elevation, its opening is shaped on prominent existing mature trees to draw the park in to the building, recognising its setting. The projecting fins and pergola to the east give this elevation depth and detail. Large areas of glazing allows the elevation to appear light which is important in the backdrop to Scott Park. When close to the building, the use of masonry cladding at ground floor level will give the structure a human scale.

The vertical detailing of the aluminium cladding and fenestration at the upper parts of the western elevation give the three storey boxes verticality. A warm grey-white for the aluminium cladding would be appropriate to complement the sandstone. The introduction of green copper cladding is carefully used to provide a contrast, add colour and interest around the building. The inclusion of picture windows on the copper clad elevations to the north and west relate to the geometric form of the building while also some playfulness. To the north, the large canopy roof which projects out from the key arrival point helps to draw people to this point. The pergola structure has a similar effect on the southern elevation and helps to active this elevation.

Angled roof lights punctuate the long flat foot expanses. Their copper tone allows them to become identifiable. Two plant screens are located to the western side of the building, they are pushed back from the edge of the roof and some care will still need to be taken that their material finish corresponds to the material pallet of the proposal so they appear integral to the building design.

Although the building is stepping forward of the existing Galashiels Academy building and occupies a larger footprint, it offers a more organic design approach. There is sufficient relief across the building which is also provided by the careful chosen materials. The scale, design and appearance of the proposal is considered to integrate within the parkland context of its immediate surroundings. The proposed material finishes are acceptable in principle, however agreement of their precise detail is still necessary, this can be handled by planning condition. The interface of key junctions of different materials will be an important aspect to handle to ensure the composition of the building looks right. This matter also be handled by a planning condition.

The layout of the sports facilities to the rear of the school replicates current arrangements and pose no design issues. SportScotland are satisfied with the provision of facilities which are being provided. The matters they have raised on floodlight design, inclusion of a shock pad as part of the 3G pitch to ensure it is suitable for rugby use and tennis court markings on the Gen2 sports pitch can be covered by condition.

The tennis courts are enclosed in a rounded roof structure. It is of a simple design and its appearance is clearly associated with sport facilities. Care would need to be taken to ensure that the material finishes do help the structure not to appear to visually apparent on approach from Livingstone Place, especially as the roof membrane cladding is noted as being coloured white.

Other smaller structures such as the substation, external plant store, bin store are positioned towards the back of the campus building. Their form and timber cladding may not specifically relate to the design of the new school, however the simplicity of their appearance ensures they are appreciated as ancillary structures. The agents have also confirmed that this material palette will align with landscape furniture which is currently being developed. This will assist with linking these structure to the landscape design of the wider development and has addressed this observation from the Heritage and Design Officer.

In summary, it is considered that the design and position of the new school building is acceptable and creative in context. Subject to appropriate external materials and colours being reserved by condition, it is considered that the building design will relate sympathetically to its surroundings whilst using features and detailing to reduce mass and add architectural interest. SportsScotland's detailed comments on the design and layout of the sports facilities can also be addressed by condition. Subject to the agreement of these matters, the proposals is considered to comply with Policies PMD2, PMD5, EP9 and HD3 in relation to design, layout and relationship with its surroundings.

Landscape and Tree Impact

The development should comply with the relevant Local Development Plan Policies on landscaping, especially PMD2 and EP13, but also with other relevant Policies such as PMD5 Infill development, EP5 Special Landscape Areas, EP11 Greenspace, EP12 Green Networks as well as relevant supplementary planning guidance on placemaking, trees, landscape and development.

There are limited public locations from out with the application site which provide clear inward views of the site. Despite the site occupying a sensitive location on the edge of the Tweed, Ettrick and Yarrow Confluences SLA, its inconspicuous location within the wider landscape coupled with the demonstration that the development can be successfully accommodated within the site provides comfort that the proposal will not detract from the character or setting of the SLA.

The site is located within the Gala House, Designed Landscape. This is a SBC designation and not a formal Garden and Designed Landscape, protected under Policy EP10 of the LDP. This site already contains a modern school building which this proposal will redevelop. The proposal will have an impact on part of Scott Park, but having considered the effect on the Gala House Designated Landscape, the proposal is not considered to have a significant adverse impact on its significance or overall appreciation. The potential effects of the proposed new school on the landscape designations are reduced by the fact that the of the existing school is located in the

designated area and the proposals plan to retain part of the park. It is noted that the Landscape Architect has not objected to the development on grounds of its impact on the Gala House Designated Landscape.

All trees within the site are covered by a Tree Preservation Order. The proposals have been informed by a detailed Tree Survey. The layout have has attempted to avoid and retain key trees, including three specimen trees within Scott Park that have been identified as having high amenity and biodiversity value. An Arboricultural Impact Assessment can be prepared to establish the precise location of protective fencing to safeguard trees proposed for retention. This can be controlled by a planning condition. The layout would result in the loss of two Cat A trees (Tree 101 and 102) at the eastern corner of the 3G pitch and new path. The loss of these trees is regrettable but the agent has advised that other site constraints do not give scope to move the pitch of reduce its size (this would conflict with SportScotland's requirements).

The Landscape Architect's concerns about introducing vehicles to the west of the building are understandable, visually this route could segregate the campus and social space from the sport facilities. Once completed, this route is limited to vehicles requiring accessible parking and servicing/deliveries only. Its volume of use should be low. From a visual perspective a suitable surface treatment, possibly block paving could differentiate it from other access routes allowing it to contribute sensitively to the landscape layout. The final surface treatment of road and all other surfaces can be handled by planning condition.

Site landscaping is being actively used to provide a series of social, wild, activity and growing spaces around the campus. This encourages outdoor learning while enhancing the environment of the development. It is important that the proposed landscape treatment of the development, particularly to the east, responds sensitively to the historic character of the Gala House Designated Landscape and setting of Scott Park. A total of 72 new trees are to be planted across the development. This is welcomed and can compensate for trees required to be removed. There may be scope for additional tree planting across the site but this needs to be balanced against the existing landscape character of Scott Park which sees areas of trees located within open grassland. More details on the planting proposals are still required, but this can be addressed by planning condition.

The proposals seeks to limit boundary fences where possible. The boundary with Scott Park will be handled in a natural manner with dished topography and longer grass to give some distinction between the school and the parkland, without the development turning its back on the park. Secure fencing is required around the Enhanced Provision garden to the south eastern corner, but this will be screened with long grasses to help soften its impacts on the park. The sports pitches to the west are enclosed by standard mesh fencing. The means of boundary enclosures appear to have been well considered in order integrate the proposals with its setting. Their precise treatment can be handled by planning condition.

While there are concerns about the impact of the development on some protected tress and the resultant impact on the host landscape, the public benefits of the proposal carries significant weight. No objection has been raised by the Landscape Architect and the siting and design of the development is considered to be, on balance acceptable in landscape and visual amenity terms. Through the development of detailed tree protection plans and proposed landscape details, the proposal will in time integrate it into its surroundings. The application is, therefore, considered to be compliant with Policies relating to landscape and visual impact, including PMD2,

PMD4, EP5, EP11, EP12 and relevant supplementary planning guidance on placemaking, trees, landscape and development.

Impact on Key Greenspace

A key issue posed by this proposed development is the potential impacts of the new campus building on Scott Park – an allocated Key Greenspace within the LDP 2016, (allocation reference; GSGALA010). Policy EP11 of the LDP is relevant. The impact of the development on Scott Park has been a principal ground of objection from third parties, including the Friends of Scott Park Action Group. Objections consider Scott Park provides important open space for the community, and this development will result in the loss of this space contrary to EP11. It is considered in objections that the compensatory greenspace is inaccessible and in the case of new sports facilities does not compensate for the loss of the open parkland.

The application has been supported by a Planning Statement, specifically assessing its impact on Scott Park against Policy EP11.

Scott Park is maintained as a public park. It provides open space for the community, set within mature woodland grounds. Play equipment is also provided. Its allocation as Key Greenspace in the LDP recognises that the park offers high amenity value within a relatively densely populated part of Galashiels. EP11 seeks to protect Key Greenspaces from loss within settlements. However, it also states that proposals which protect and enhance that greenspace will be supported. It goes on to state that any loss would only be permitted if, after consultation with user groups:

- There is social, economic or community justification for the loss, or
- The need for the development outweighs the need for the retention of Greenspace
- Where appropriate, comparable or enhanced open space should be provided elsewhere, as an adequate and acceptable replacement

The issue regarding whether the proposal results in the "loss" of Key Greenspace requires consideration. Scott Park currently extends to 3.8ha of open parkland. The proposals still retains 1.94Ha of the eastern part of the existing park as open parkland. This area is nearest to the town and includes important mature woodland tree cover to the north east which separates the park from the more urban environment to the east.

It is considered that the proposals do not result in the wholescale loss of Scott Park. Recognising that the development would remove part of the park, additional parkland provision and new facilities as part of the applicants "reorientation" of the greenspace is proposed. This includes;

- Bringing the upper park terrace (area where the annex accommodation is being removed) back into public use
- Extend the park to the west of the new playing fields as the Lower park
- Extending Scott Park to the south into the foot of Gala Hill
- A new perimeter parkland loop around the new extended park area and link into Gala Policies and Gala Hill
- New play space to the north of the avenue access
- Orchard Space

The proposed development would increase Scott Park from 3.8Ha to 6.7Ha. Based on area alone, the extended Scott Park is more than comparable to the existing area. The quality of the new space is however more difficult to measure. The new area still provides a parkland which is available for members of the public. That said, it does not

appear to provide as much open, and less shaded open grass land space close to residential properties. Due to the constraints of the site and the need to provide new and improved sports facilities which will be of the benefit to the wider community, providing identical compensatory greenspace is difficult to deliver.

There applicants have through the PAC process engaged with the local community about the impact of the development on the existing Scott Park as required by Policy EP11. In this case the loss of part of the park will facilitate a new community campus, for which there is an identified need. The community campus will improve the Councils education facilities which is identified as a Key Outcome of the LDP as well as providing new sporting facilities. Appropriate weight must also be given to these benefits as part of the planning balance. The proposal will serve the entire Galashiels and District catchment area and while some people will benefit more than others, the development will provide clear social, community and economic benefits. Where a development results in the loss of Key Greenspace, this proposal is seen to meet the exemptions listed in criteria d) and e) of Policy EP11.

From the objection comments received, it is clear that the reorientation of the park does not meet the expectations for everyone within the local community. The applicants have sought to provide compensatory parkland space which also provides improved pedestrian and bicycle connectivity and new play facilities. Part f) of Policy EP11 considers the provision of comparable or enhanced open space. It does suggest that it may be possible to provide new open space at a different locations or make financial contributions. The new space may not be a clear "like for like" swap, but it will provide space which can be used in the same general manner and location as the space which is being removed. This is judged to be a better arrangement than seeking to provide other comparable space in a different part of Galashiels and retains the historic provision of public parkland space within this part of the town.

The wooded Gala Policies Key Greenspace, GSGALA009 adjoin the site. No loss of this greenspace is proposed. The improved connectivity being provided as part of this proposed development is considered to be an enhancement of this greenspace.

In summary, it is accepted that the proposals will result in the loss of part of Scott Park. However, its loss will allow for a new education facility, community campus and modern sporting facility to be developed for the benefit of the wider community. The proposal has recognised its impact on Scott Park and sought to provide new parkland space along with new and improved facilities to offset the loss of part of Scott Park. The impact of the development on the existing Scott Park is an unfortunate effect of the proposed development. Following consideration of the merits of the proposals the proposal does not result in the full loss of the greenspace which Policy EP11 seeks to protect. Where part of the park is being lost, there is a clear justification that the benefits of development in this case outweigh the need to retain part of Scott Park where the campus building is being located and the proposed compensatory open space (as well as planned sporting facilities) help mitigate the loss of key greenspace. It is worth noting that whilst Policy EP11 seeks to protect areas of key greenspace, the proposals demonstrate that there is social, economic and community justification for the loss of open space and the need for a new school campus (with enhanced community facilities) is judged to outweigh the need to retain the open space. Comparable and enhanced open space is being proposed that will provide adequate an acceptable replacement open space. Policy EP11 also recognises that in some cases, recreational provision may be a suitable alternative provided it is equally accessible and is judged to compensate for the loss of the open space resource. On balance, the proposed development does not conflict with the requirements of Policy EP11.

Turning to the potential impacts on Green Networks, the provision of enhanced compensatory open space and linkages to the existing green network on the periphery of Galashiels is considered to comply with Policy EP12 Green Networks.

Cultural Heritage and Archaeology

The proposal is required to comply with Local Development Plan Policies EP7 on Listed Buildings, EP8 on Archaeology and EP9 on Conservation Areas together with Government guidance such as Scottish Planning Policy and Historic Environment Policy.

The site includes the Category C Listed Scott Park boundary walls, gates and gatepiers. The associated gate lodge is out with the site boundary but intrinsically linked to the listed structures. These are important historic features which provide access to Scott Park and make a positive contribution to its setting. No changes are proposed to these structures and no mature tree around the entrance are to be removed. The development still provides access to Scott Park from this point which does not impact on the integrity of these listed structures. General repair and maintenance works include repainting of railings, cleaning stone work and relocation of miscellaneous non listed equipment such as bins, furniture are proposed. This will make a positive contribution and enhance this aspect of the setting of Scott Park.

Other listed structures are located to the east of the development, including the Category A Listed Old Gala House and B Listed Old Parish and St Pauls Church. The Church is the closer of the two buildings and the one potentially more affected. The campus building will move closer to the church but it remains sufficient distant from the church so it does not adversely affect its setting. No concerns have been raised by the Heritage and Design Officer that the development will adversely impact on the character, integrity or setting of any surrounding listed buildings.

The application has been accompanied by a desk-based archaeological assessment. The development is not found to impact on any Scheduled Monuments. A number of archaeological and historic sites have been noted in the assessment. In particular three historical sites which are located within the development boundary and relate to the former Gala House are identified to have potential direct impacts arising from the development. The Archaeology Officer has not considered that these sites are potentially of national significance. The applicants have already progressed a Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for evaluation and watching brief of the development on the identified historic features. The Archaeology Officer considers the proposals within the WSI to be acceptable and therefore can mitigate impacts on these assets in accordance with Policy EP8. The implementation of this methodology and reporting would still need to be controlled by any permission.

The site is not within the Galashiels conservation area. The new campus will creep closer to the conservation area, however the proposal is still far enough removed from the designation that it poses no direct impact on its character or setting. The development complies with Policy EP9.

Mitigation is required to secure the proposed enhancements to the entrance to Scott Park and ensure preservation and/or recording of any archaeological interests discovered during development works. These matters can addressed by planning condition. Subject to those conditions, it is considered that the development will not have any adverse impacts on any listed building or archaeological interests, thus complying with LDP Policies EP7 and EP8.

Residential Amenity

Policies PMD2 and HD3 contain safeguards regarding residential amenity, both in terms of general use compatibility but also direct impacts such as privacy and light. In terms of PMD2, there is a requirement for development to be appropriate to its surroundings in terms of scale, massing and height. There should also be compatibility with the neighbouring uses and built form. Policy HD3 is more specific and refers to protection of amenity for predominantly residential areas, which surround the site on three sides. It seeks to avoid adverse impacts, such as loss of open space, scale, nature of use, privacy, daylighting, traffic impacts and overall visual impacts. There have been third party objections in relation to these elements

The development takes up a larger area than the existing school and associated facilities. The development does remove existing open space from Scott Park, the appropriateness of this and the re-provision of this has been addressed earlier in the report. Despite the increase in building footprint, in simple planning terms the presence of a school campus, swimming pool and other sports facilities within this location ensures that the proposal remains compatible with its surroundings.

The main school building will be positioned closer to residential properties on Scott Crescent. Waverley Residential Home will be closest to the new school. Its low boundary enclosures could have made it highly susceptible to visual impacts from the new school. However, the orientation of this neighbouring building is angled away from the school, and therefore its outlook is not significantly affected by this development.

Mature planting is being retained around the north eastern corner of the site. This tree cover will afford screening of the development from properties at Oakwood Park and along Scott Crescent. Some properties on Scott Crescent will have visibility of the development however these properties already have visibility of the existing school. Although the campus building is moving closer, the elevations closest to these receptors are reduced to two storey from four in comparison to the existing academy building. The taller part of the proposal is pushed back away from these receptors.

Towards the north and south, the retention of mature trees and the removal of both the swimming pool and redundant academy annex buildings means the development as a whole has a reduced impact on the visual amenity of neighbouring residential properties on Livingstone Place, Balmoral Avenue and Elm Grove.

The siting and scale of the proposal is not considered to adversely affect the visual amenity of any of the adjacent residential properties, or cause any detrimental levels of overlooking, loss of privacy or sunlight.

Several third party objections have raised concerns relating to noise impact. An independent Noise Assessment, which includes noise modelling and predictions for both the construction and operation phase has been submitted. The report has been considered by the Councils Environmental Health Officers. Demolition of the swimming pool building could have noise implications for adjacent dwellinghouses but this impact can be mitigated by the erection of suitable screening which should remain in place during the demolition phase. A standard noise condition is also sought to set suitable noise limits for plant and machinery operated within the site.

Environmental Health Officers found that noise generated from the sports pitches and also light impacts from the flood lights could impact on the Janitors House which is located to the south of the campus building. This property is being retained by the development but is to remain involved with the operation of the community campus.

Limiting the noise from sports equipment and fencing is sensible and would improve the amenity of the wider area. Curtailing floodlighting to 2100hrs Monday to Friday and 1900 on Saturday and Sunday seems onerous, especially as the affected property will likely be involved with the running of these facilities. A 10pm cut off for the use of floodlights would appear more reasonable and would ensure consistency with the operation of floodlights at the recently consented Peebles High School. No third party residential properties have been identified to be adversely impacted by the floodlights therefore a 10pm cut off for floodlight use (Monday – Sunday) is acceptable.

As a result of the design and layout of the development and subject to the aforementioned conditions, there is no evidence to suggest that the development would cause insurmountable adverse impacts on residential amenity. It is concluded that the development provides sufficient safeguard and mitigation protecting residential amenity in compliance with Policies PMD2, HD3 and the relevant Supplementary Planning Guidance.

Transport and Access

Policies PMD2, IS4, IS5 and IS7 require safe access to and within developments, which should also protect existing access routes, all in accordance with the guidance in "Designing Streets" and various other relevant Government publications and Guidance Notes. As required by Policy IS4, a Transport Assessment (TA) was submitted in support of the application.

The TA, access and parking proposals have been considered by the Roads Planning Service (RPS). Some minor discrepancies identified by the RPS relating to projected future education and staff numbers has been clarified by the agents. RPS are now satisfied that the surrounding road network has the capacity to accommodate the predicted increased vehicle movements, assuming the school roll increases. The majority of vehicle movements will be directed to the new car park and dedicated coach drop off at Livingstone Place. This is intended to minimise the number of vehicles at Scott Park and only permit access from Elm Row for drop off/pick up at the Enhanced Provision facility, disabled access and deliveries.

Members will note the responses from the RPS who have raised no objections. The impact of the development on road and pedestrian safety, proposed parking arrangements and traffic management proposals are considered to be acceptable, subject to a series of conditions. In summary, RPS require the following further details to be provided;

- Details of pedestrian crossing to be installed at Scott Street.
- Disabled parking bays
- A Traffic Management Plan (with regards to construction traffic), will be required for the demolition and construction phases.
- Engineering details for all new/repaired footpaths and parking areas within the development.
- Agreement of the number and location of Electric Vehicle charging points and cycle parking.
- Production of a School Travel Plan within 12 months of the school becoming operational.

Although there have been third party objections on the grounds of road safety and traffic impacts, RPS are supportive of the proposal subjected to the above points being addressed. These matters can be handled by way of appropriately worded planning

conditions, with a School Travel Plan being recommended as an informative for the Council to administer through appropriate channels. This will result in a development capable of safe access in accordance with Policies PMD2, IS4 and IS7 of the Local Development Plan.

Policy IS5 requires public access routes to be maintained unless appropriate alternative routes are provided. A combination to core paths, promoted paths and permissive paths extend around Scott Park, Gala Policies and Gala Hill. These routes link into other routes to the north and south of the town and also west into the countryside. The proposed development seeks to retain the existing path network with enhanced provision to access both Gala Polices and Gala Hill. The development does not result in the loss of any existing paths, including core paths. The School Travel Plan will promote walking and cycling.

Parts of the construction process may result in some disruption to the core path around the eastern edge of Scott Park (ref; GALA/189/36) and within the Policies (GALA/189/36a). Planning conditions can manage the impact on paths to ensure their retention and also where there are impacted during any construction operations, suitable diversions are agreed. Subject to the agreement of aforementioned planning conditions, the proposal is considered to comply with LDP Policy IS5.

Flood Risk, Water and Drainage

Local Development Plan Policies IS8 and IS9 are the most relevant in consideration of the impacts of development of this site on the water environment. Policy IS8 relates to flood risk and IS9 to Waste Water Treatment Standards and Sustainable Urban Drainage. Policy IS8 requires development not to be at risk of flooding but also not to materially increase the risk of flooding elsewhere. Policy IS9 seeks preferential connection to the public drainage systems and use of best SUDs practice.

The application was supported by a Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy Report. The south west / western part of the site are shown to be at risk of flooding from a 1 in 200 year flood event on SEPAs indicative flood maps. This ground is currently occupied by the playing fields. The depth of flooding in the area is predicted to be up to 0.3m. The new grass football pitch and 3G synthetic football and rugby pitch would be located in this area. It was explored if the pitches could lifted 300mm to further reduce risk however this raising is not proposed due to other implications this would cause. Other works at the site which include the widening of the holding basin and maintenance works to the intake grill may further limit flood risk to the pitches. From a flood risk perspective marginally raising the level of these pitches is desirable but it is not essential. Both SEPA and the Councils Flood Risk Officer (FRO) do not object to the proposals and accept locating these types of facilities are uses which are compatible within a 1 in 200 year flood risk. Importantly the new campus building is not at risk of flooding.

The culverted Moss Burn runs around the sports pitches. The FRO recommended that the existing buried man hole cover should be either suitably retained or moved. This matter can be addressed by planning condition.

In terms of the drainage proposals, foul drainage will flow from the development via a dedicated gravity foul system to the north of the site where it will discharge to the combined sewer on Livingstone Place. Scottish Water have not identified any existing capacity issues to suggest their infrastructure could not accept this proposal.

Surface water is to be handled via combination of treatments including; infiltration trench, attenuation tank, cellular storage and porous paving. The FRO supports the proposal which reduces runoff rates. In comparison to the existing surface water systems, the proposal offers a betterment in Sustainable Urban Drainage terms and will reduce the volume of water being discharged in to Scottish Water's network.

Subject to conditions controlling the impact on culverted Moss Burn, the drainage and flood attenuation proposals are considered to be in compliance with Policies IS8 and IS9 of the Local Development Plan in relation to drainage of the site and avoidance of creating a material surface water flood risk within or around the site.

Ecology

The application requires assessment principally against Local Development Plan Policies EP1-EP3 covering international, national and local nature conservation and protected species. The application site is not located within any SSSI or SAC.

The application was supported by a Preliminary Ecological Assessment (PEA). Impact on potential bat habitat was identified through building demolition and loss of mature trees. A full bat survey of the CDT building has been carried out as this was the building found to have highest potential for bats. Surveys of other structures with low potential are still required. In addition, a survey for red squirrel is also required.

Further discussions with the agent has confirmed that the survey focusses on the areas identified within the PEA that will be affected by demolition taking place prior to the proposed construction works commencing. This is the CDT building that is scheduled for demolition during April 2023.

The remaining buildings proposed for demolition, as well as the trees identified for removal in the PEA, are not scheduled for demolition/removal until the end of 2025, following completion of the new campus building. The agent has confirmed that the demolition of the various other school buildings are being phased from late August 2025 until spring 2026. The agent has also confirmed that further surveys of these buildings and trees will be carried out in advance of demolition and licenses will be applied for as required. This will ensure that the surveys are up to date and relevant to what is actually there at that time. Members should be aware that a survey carried out more than 18 months ahead of the works being carried out would be considered invalid. It is the intention to phase the survey work on this basis to ensure they are up to date and valid at the time of licence application. The agent has confirmed that Echoes Ecology (who carried out the original survey) have been appointed on the basis of carrying out these surveys at a later date.

The Council's Ecologist has confirmed that as no other demolition works are proposed until 2025, the survey for these buildings can be carried out closer to the date of demolition, during the 2024 and early 2025 survey seasons. No further surveys are required at this stage, however the Ecologist recommends a series of conditions are added to any permission that may be granted

Species licences will be required to be obtained before each phase of the demolition commences, along with species protection plans for bats, red squirrel and breeding birds. These matters can be handled by planning condition which will also provide scope to address any extra mitigation deemed necessary by the additional surveys.

New floodlighting may also impact on bats. The Ecologist has suggested that different lights (which are to be used at the new Peebles High School) would minimise the

impact of artificial light on bats and also reduce light spill. Mitigation of the impact of floodlights can be handled by a condition seeking a suitable lighting plan.

Other Material Issues

Third parties have raised concerns about the development causing litter. The development will include new litter facilities. The Council will be best placed to manage this through their operation of the Community Campus.

It has been suggested in objections that the application should be notified to Scottish Ministers under the Town and Country Planning (Notification of Applications) (Scotland) Direction 2009. The proposed development constitutes a Category 1 development where planning authorities have an interest. However, there needs to be a *significant departure* from the objectives of the plan as a whole to require notification of Council interest in the application. It is considered that there is no departure from the strategic objectives of the plan more broadly, which is the key test for notification.

Members will be aware that the local development plan acknowledges the aspiration for a new school within the grounds of the existing Academy. Furthermore, the policy on green space does make allowances for the possibility of development on green spaces in exceptional circumstances.

Whether the development complies, wholly or in part, with policy requirements is part of the planning balance. Conflict with a single policy does not represent a "significant" departure for the purposes of this process. It has been suggested in objections that the proposal does not comply with the requirements of LDP Policy EP11 on Key Greenspace. Members will note from the assessment above that the impact of the development on Key Greenspace has been thoroughly tested. It is considered that the proposed development will not result in a significant departure from the development plan and as such, the application is not required to be notified to Scottish Ministers.

CONCLUSION

The proposed development represents a significant investment in the improvement of education and community facilities for the benefit of Galashiels and its surrounding catchment. The development is located within part of Galashiels which successfully accommodates the existing Galashiels Academy. The location of the proposal allows education to continue unimpeded whilst the new facilities are constructed. The building represents an innovative and interesting design, being a suitable form of infill development respecting the landscape, townscape and character of the surrounding area.

The siting of the new Community Campus would result in the loss of part of Scott Park. It has been established that there is a clear need for the proposed development and the development itself will provide long-term social, economic and community benefits. The development also seeks to mitigate its impact on part of Scott Park by providing compensatory greenspace in this location, as well as new sporting facilities. When considered against the wider planning balance, the development has satisfactorily demonstrated that the direct benefits and greenspace mitigation it would provide allows it to represent an exceptional form of development of the existing greenspace in accordance with the aims of Policy EP11.

In conclusion and subject to compliance with the proposed schedule of conditions, and Informatives, the development is considered acceptable when assessed against the

RECOMMENDATION BY CHIEF PLANNING AND HOUSING OFFICER:

I recommend the application is approved subject to following conditions:

- 1. No development shall commence until precise details of the external materials (including colour) finishes for all buildings which include; the new Community Campus, Tennis Court enclosure and ancillary structures have first been submitted to and agreed in writing with the Planning Authority. This should also include large scale detailing for key junctions/features around the new Community Campus building. The development shall thereafter be carried out in accordance with the agreed finishes and detailing. Reason: To ensure external materials are visually appropriate to the development and sympathetic to the surrounding area.
- 2. No development commence until a scheme of phasing has been submitted to, and agreed in writing by, the Planning Authority. This shall include a programme for completion of the main elements within the development the community campus, outdoor sports facilities, all access roads and paths and the demolition of the existing Galashiels Academy. Once approved, the development then to be carried out in accordance with the approved scheme. Reason: To ensure the development is carried out in a structured and orderly manner which ensures minimum disruption to educational and sporting facilities on site.
- 3. The new Gen2 Multi Sport pitch and 3G synthetic pitch shall be floodlit and shall be designed and constructed by a recognised (e.g., SAPCA* registered) specialist pitch contractor(s). Details of the contractor(s) and pitch specification shall be submitted for the written approval of the planning authority prior to the commencement of development. *SAPCA is The Sports and Play Construction Association (www.sapca.org.uk)

Reason: To ensure appropriate replacement provision is provided.

- 4. The 3G synthetic pitch shall include an appropriate shock pad to ensure IRB (International Rugby Board) standards compliance.

 Reason: To ensure the pitch can be used for rugby use.
- At least 4 tennis courts shall be marked to the recognised tennis court dimensions in the Gen2 Multi Sport pitch. Reason: To ensure replacement of tennis courts.
- 6. No development shall commence until an Arboricultural Impact Assessment has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Planning Authority and thereafter, no development shall take place except in strict accordance with those details. The submitted details shall include:
 - a) A plan identifying the location of protective fencing in accordance with BS5837:2012 which is to be erected around trees identified for retention on Drawing No GCC_RFL-00-ZZ-DR-L-0003 and thereafter the fencing shall only be removed when the development has been completed.
 - b) A programme of works to detail the removal of trees identified within the Drawing No GCC_RFL-00-ZZ-DR-L-0003 for removal.

Reason: Further information is required regarding tree removal and protection to ensure impacts on trees are minimised, in the interests of maintaining the landscape setting of the site and amenity of neighbouring properties.

- 7. Other than those identified for removal within Drawing No GCC_RFL-00-ZZ-DR-L-0003, no trees within the application site shall be felled, lopped, lifted or disturbed in any way without the prior consent of the Planning Authority Reason: The existing tree(s) represent an important visual feature which the Planning Authority considered should be substantially maintained.
- 8. No development shall take place except in strict accordance with a scheme of hard and soft landscaping works, which has first been submitted to and approved in writing by the Planning Authority and thereafter the development shall be completed in accordance with the agreed details. Details of the scheme shall include;
 - i. Existing and finished ground levels in relation to a fixed datum preferably ordnance
 - ii. Location and design, including materials, of walls, fences and gates
 - iii. All surfacing materials for all roads, footpaths, steps and all other hard surfaces
 - iv. Precise location of all new trees, shrubs, hedges and grassed areas
 - v. Schedule of plants to comprise species, plant sizes and proposed numbers/density,
 - vi. Comprehensive programme for completion, establishment and subsequent long term maintenance, completion being no later than the end of the concurrent or next available planting season to the new school building becoming operational.
 - Reason: To ensure the satisfactory form, layout and assimilation of the development.
- 9. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the archaeological evaluation and watching brief detailed within the approved Galashiels Community Campus Archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (Report No 4088) prepared by CFA Archaeology Limited. Access should be afforded to allow investigation by a contracted archaeologist(s) nominated by the developer and agreed to by the Planning Authority. The developer shall allow the archaeologist(s) to;
 - Conduct a programme of evaluation prior to development. This will include the below ground excavation of evaluation trenches and the full recording of archaeological features and finds.
 - Observe relevant below ground excavation during development, investigate and record features of interest and recover finds and samples if necessary

Results will be submitted to the Planning Authority for review in the form of a Data Structure Report. If significant archaeology is discovered the nominated archaeologist(s) will contact the Archaeology Officer for further consultation. The developer will ensure that any significant data and finds undergo post-excavation analysis, the results of which will be submitted to the Planning Authority.

Reason: The site is within an area where ground works may interfere with, or result in the destruction of, archaeological remains, and it is therefore desirable to afford a reasonable opportunity to record the history of the site.

10. No development shall commence until a scheme of details to improve the appearance of the existing entrance in to Scott Park has been submitted to and agreed in writing with the Planning Authority and thereafter the works shall be completed prior to the development becoming operational.

Reason: To improve the appearance of the entrance to Scott Park which also provides access to the development.

- 11. No development shall commence until a scheme of details for a signalised pedestrian crossing on Scott Street have been submitted to and agreed in writing with the Planning Authority. Thereafter the crossing shall be installed and operational before the development becomes operational. Reason: To ensure the pedestrian crossing required to safely assist pedestrian flow is designed and installed to the satisfaction of the Council.
- 12. The four parking bays to the southern side of the Community Campus at the Enhanced Drop Off area shall be marked out as disabled person spaces. Reason: To ensure there is appropriate parking provision and accessibility for all at this location and to prevent miss-use.
- 13. No development shall commence until engineering details for all new roads (including car parking areas) and footways/footpaths have been submitted to and agreed in writing with the Planning Authority. Thereafter all routes shall be constructed in accordance with the agreed details before the development becomes operational.
 - Reason: To ensure that all new roads and footways/footpaths are constructed to an appropriate fit for purpose standard.
- 14. No development shall commence until a scheme of details for the provision of electric charging points have been submitted to and agreed in writing with the Planning Authority. Details shall include number, location, layout and associated infrastructure. Provision shall also be included for increasing the number of charging points to meet future demand.
 - Reason: To ensure the development hereby permitted caters for sustainable travel modes of transport.
- 15. No development shall commence until details of proposed cycle stands have been submitted to and agreed in writing with the Planning Authority. Thereafter the approved details to be installed and operational prior to the development becoming operational.
 - Reason: To ensure there is adequate secure and covered provision for cyclists and the development caters for sustainable forms of transport.
- 16. No development shall commence until a Traffic Management Plan (TMP), relating to construction traffic, has been submitted to, and approved by, the Council. Thereafter the works are to proceed in accordance with the approved plan unless otherwise agreed in writing.
 - Reason: To ensure the safety of all road users during the construction phase of the development and to ensure that the construction traffic does not have a detrimental impact on the existing traffic movements.
- 17. No development shall commence until a Path Planning Study has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Planning Authority and thereafter, no development shall take place except in strict accordance with those details. The submitted details shall include:
 - a) All existing core paths, rights of way, or other used paths/ tracks;
 - b) Areas where statutory rights of access will apply and any areas proposed for exclusion from statutory access rights for reasons of privacy, disturbance or curtilage, in relation to proposed buildings, structures or fenced off areas:

c) Any diversions of paths - temporary or permanent - proposed for the purposes of the development

Reason: To protect path access through the development site during development works.

18. The existing manhole access to the culverted Moss Burn shall be retained, unless a an alternative access detailing to the burn are submitted to and agreed in writing with the Planning Authority and thereafter any new access shall be suitably retained.

Reason: In the interests of flood risk and to ensure access to the culverted burn is maintained.

- 19. No development shall commence on each phase of demolition of the existing school buildings (as agreed by Condition 2) or the felling of trees identified for removal under Condition 7, until the developer has provided the Planning Authority with either;
 - a) a copy of the relevant European Protected Species licence,
 - b) a copy of a statement in writing from Scottish Natural Heritage (NatureScot) (licensing authority) stating that such a licence is not necessary for the specified development

Reason: To protect the ecological interest in accordance with Local Development Plan policies.

- 20. No development shall commence until the following Ecological mitigation measures have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Planning Authority and thereafter, no development shall take place except in strict accordance with those details. The submitted details shall include:
 - a) a Species Protection Plan (SPP) for bats
 - b) a SPP for breeding birds which shall include a pre-development supplementary survey, in the event that development works are sought to be commenced during the breeding bird season (March to August)
 - c) a SPP for red squirrel
 - d) A sensitive lighting scheme to minimise impact of floodlights on bats and breeding birds

Reason: To ensure that species and habitats affected by the development are afforded suitable protection during the construction and operation of the development.

- 21. No works shall commence until a Biodiversity Enhancement Plan for the site has been submitted to, and approved in writing, by the Planning Authority. The scheme shall include the provision of compensatory bird nest boxes, bat boxes and details on the compensatory tree planting. The development shall thereafter be carried out in accordance with the approved details or such alternatives as may be approved in writing with the planning authority. Reason: To ensure the development accords with the requirements of policies within the Local Development Plan
- 22. No development shall take place until a construction environmental management plan, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the planning authority. The CEMP shall include the following.
 - a) Risk assessment of potentially damaging construction activities.
 - b) Identification of "biodiversity protection zones".

- c) Method Statements to avoid or reduce impacts during construction, the location and timing of sensitive works to avoid harm to biodiversity features and the use of protective fences, exclusion barriers and warning signs.
- d) The times during construction when specialist ecologist need to be present on site to oversee works.
- e) Responsible persons and lines of communication.
- f) The role and responsibilities on site of Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW) or similar competent person.
- g) A Drainage Management Plan
- h) A Site Waste Management Plan

The approved CEMP shall be implemented throughout the construction period and operational phase, as appropriate, strictly in accordance with the approved details, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure all construction operations are carried out in a manner that minimise their impact on the environment.

- 23. No development shall take place until precise details of the location and specification of screening to be erected to minimise noise during construction at noise sensitive receptors identified in the Noise Assessment. The screening shall be installed before the commencement of demolition works and shall remain in place until the works have been completed.
 - Reason: To safeguard surrounding residential amenity.
- 24. Any noise emitted by plant and machinery used on the premises will not exceed Noise Rating Curve NR20 between the hours of 2300 0700 and NR 30 at all other times when measured within all noise sensitive properties (windows can be open for ventilation). The noise emanating from any plant and machinery used on the premises should not contain any discernible tonal component. Tonality shall be determined with reference to BS 7445-2 Reason: To safeguard on-site amenity and surrounding residential amenity.
- 25. No development shall commenced until a scheme of noise mitigation measures for the equipment to be installed and used at the Sports Pitches in accordance with paragraph 4.7.2 of the Noise Assessment (Report No 4633 prepared by ITP Energised dated 24 March 2022), has been submitted to and agreed in writing with the Planning Authority and thereafter the mitigation shall be installed and suitably maintained before operation of the facilities. Reason: To safeguard surrounding residential amenity.

Informatives

1. Within 12 months of the school becoming operational is it recommended that a Travel Plan is agreed with the Council's Roads Planning Service to ensure the school operates in a sustainable manner with regard to travel and transport.

DRAWING NUMBERS

Proposed Site Plan
Proposed Ground Floor Plan
First Floor Plan
Second Floor Plan
Roof Plan
Section Sheet 01
Section Sheet 02
Elevation Sheet 01
Elevation Sheet 02
Elevation Sheet 03
Tennis Court Ground Floor Plan
Tennis Courts Roof Plan
Tennis Courts Elevation Sheet 01
Tennis Courts Elevation Sheet 02
Substation Plans and Elevation
External Sports Store Plans & Elevation
Landscape Layout
Tree Protection Plan
Fencing Layout
Bin Store Plan & Elevation
Planting Plan

Approved by

Name	Designation	Signature
Ian Aikman	Chief Planning and Housing Officer	

The original version of this report has been signed by the Chief Planning and Housing Officer and the signed copy has been retained by the Council.

Author(s)

Name	Designation
Scott Shearer	Peripatetic Planning Officer

